

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/782,171	02/12/2001	Sushma Pati	A-68957-1/RFT/RMS/BTC	2109
25213	7590 09/15/2004		EXAMINER	
	HRMAN WHITE & MCA EFIELD ROAD	SMITH, CAROLYN L		
	RK, CA 94025-3506		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1631	
			DATE MAILED: 09/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/782,171	PATI ET AL.				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Carolyn L Smith	1631				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 27 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ition. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	/				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content o	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH date on which the petition under 37 CFF f extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply of a later than three months after the mailing the shortened statutory period for reply of the shortened statutory period for reply of the mailing the shortened statutory period for reply of the shortened statutory period for the shortened statutory period fo	g date of the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension and the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action or				
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>27 August 2004</u>. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.</li> <li>The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:</li> </ol>						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	pe allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: 14,16 and 19.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4 and 6-20</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. ☐ Other:						
		7.1				

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The claims have been improperly amended. Firstly, a comma was struck through in the third to last line of claim 16 that was not present in previously presented claims. Secondly, claims 21-31 that were not entered in the previous amendment should be listed in the present set of claims with the status identifier of "(not entered)".

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL

PHINARY EXAMINER